

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,658	01/28/2004	Werner Pustelnik	030620-US	3036
7590 12/08/2004			EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Karl Hormann			HIRSCH, PAUL J	
P.O. Box 38151 Cambridge, M.	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,			3753	- ·

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim	,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.65	10/766,658	PUSTELNIK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul J. Hirsch	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/28 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specific cooperation of the spaced plates 4 and the side plates with the end plates is not clearly disclosed in the specification and/or drawing as to their cooperation to form superposed apertures and fluid channels as stated in claim 1, lines 4-6. The specification does not disclose apertures for the paired plates or how the apertures cooperate with the side and end plates as to form fluid channels. Further reference numerals 8 and 11 in figs. 2-3 appear to be referencing the same element which is indefinite and/or unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,658 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakada et al in view of Nakamura et al. Nakada et al teaches between bottom and cover plates aluminum parallel plates (Note fig. 1 and col. 2, lines 39-76 for example) having apertures 23 that are welded together in conjunction with lateral plates 41 of substantial identical height relative to fins 5 to form continuous fluid paths of the same concept of Applicant's. Nakamura et al teaches secured parallel plates forming fluid channels having edge portions 2 which serve as side and end plates that cooperate to space the flat interior sections 8 of the plates allowing for the fluid channel. In so far as understood this is the same type of construction as Applicant's. Accordingly, separate construction of elements to form an integral piece resulting in the same structural purpose and form does not unobviously distinguish structurally from each other.

Therefore to include side marginal plate like elements within Nakada et al would be obvious from Nakamura et al if such is not already the case for structural integrity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul J. Hirsch whose telephone number is 703-308-1148. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 703 3082696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

C-F are cited as teachings including heat exchange constuction

Paul J. Hirsch Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

Pjh November 22, 2004